b2

P.01

## Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # <u>6598</u> Case file # <u>95-179249</u>.

Material Examiner:

.

•

•

· . .

.

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a trial, testimony transcript provided.

CEN 59

-

7-2003 11:26		NDENT CA	ASE REV	VIEW RE	PORT	I
Independent Review con	ducted by:	Steve Robert	son		<b>.</b>	
Area(s) of Expeniise:	Hair and Fib					
Review commenced at:	2:15 PM	(Time),	02/24/03	(Date	e)	
File #: 95-179249						
Laboratory #(s): 4072	3051		_			
			-			
			- (a) Pa Samah			
	Deviewed	Examiner	(s) & Symu	0012	Decisionad	Not Deviewed
RQ	Reviewed X0	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
					_ a	
	D	D				D
		Materia	ls Reviewe	d		
Trial testimony transcript	t(s) of: —	Michael Malone				
Testimony Date	(s); Aug.	28, 1984	Pages:	35-125		•
aboratory Report(s):						
Laboratory Numb	er: 4072	3051		Date:	Aug. 3, 1984	ŀ
Laboratory Numb				Date:		
Laboratory Numb	er:			Date:		
Examiner Bench Notes of	f: RQ					
Laboratory Num		3051				
						•
κ.		Page 1	of 3			

•

٠

Ł

	3 11:27			<b>i</b>	P
Was ar	ly other material rev	viewed? X Yes	D No		
lf yes	, please identify and	Vor describe the material:	submitting agency l	letter dated July 20, 1	984
1					
		Resul	ts of Review		
File #	: 95-179249	Item or S	pecimen # Reviewed:	Q24, Q43, Q45, Q	53, Q54, Q66,
	: 95-179249 , K5, K7	Item or S	pecimen # Reviewed:	Q24, Q43, Q45, Q	53, Q54, Q66,
		Item or S			53, Q54, Q66,
	, K5, K7		y Report(s) and Ber ents are required belo	nch Notes:	53, Q54, Q66,
	, K5, K7 additic Did the examiner	Review of Laboratory Note: Numbered comme onal pages for any "No" of perform the appropriate to 5, and analytic techniques	y Report(s) and Ber ents are required belo or "Unable to Determ ests in a scientifically a	nch Notes: w or on hine" Responses acceptable manner, ba	ased on the nation(s)?
<u>K1, K2</u>	, K5, K7 addition Did the examiner methods, protocols	Review of Laboratory Note: Numbered comme onal pages for any "No" of perform the appropriate to 5, and analytic techniques on results set forth in the l	y Report(s) and Ber ents are required belo or "Unable to Determ ests in a scientifically a available at the time o $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No X $\Box$	nch Notes: w or on ine" Responses acceptable manner, ba of the original exami D Unable to Determin oported and adequate)	ased on the nation(s)? ac

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- □ Transcript not available.
- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?
- Testimony consistent with the bench notes? 4)
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?
- XO No □ Unable to Determine O Yes
- X No □ Yes □ Unable to Determine
- □ Unable to Determine X□ Yes 🗆 No

Page of 3 2

SUL Initials:

P.04

Comments (Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-179249

#1. It cannot be determined if the hair comparison was performed in a scientifically acceptable manner by

reading the notes. There were no written protocols for hair comparison at the time of the examination.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated and are in pencil

instead of ink. Abbreviations are used that are hard to interpret.

#3. The bench notes document the presence of a Negroid hair on the bed sheet (Q43), but that finding is not in

the laboratory report. This finding is brought out during testimony. The number of hair present in each exhibit is-

#4. The number of hair present in each exhibit is given in testimony but is not documented in the bench notes.

Review completed at:

(Time), 4:30 PM

2/24/03

(Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 2.25 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of \_\_\_\_\_ J \_\_\_\_ pages.

Δ Feb. 24, 2003 (Signature) (Date) Page oſ 3 SHAL Initials: